

Analysis: Director John Lee Ratcliffe vs. Senator John Cornyn – Temperature Zero

The Exchange

On March 18, 2026, Senator **John Cornyn** asked CIA Director **John Lee Ratcliffe**:

- *"Yesterday, the head of the National Counterterrorism Center resigned, saying that Iran did not represent an imminent threat to the United States. Is there anything to indicate that Iran had ceased in its nuclear ambitions?"*
- Ratcliffe answered: **"No."**
- Cornyn: *"So you disagree with Mr. Kent?"*
- Ratcliffe: **"I do."**
- Ratcliffe further stated: *"Iran has been a constant threat to the United States for an extended period of time and posed an immediate threat at this time."*

The Contradiction Cascade

Fact	Source	Verification
Joseph Clay Kent , Director of NCTC, resigned March 17, 2026, stating: "Iran posed no imminent threat to our nation."	Kent resignation letter	Public record, undisputed.
Tulsi Gabbard , DNI, testified March 17, 2026, that the Intelligence Community assessed Iran's nuclear program was **"obliterated"** with **"no effort"** to rebuild.	Gabbard written testimony; affirmed under oath	She confirmed this assessment was the IC's official position.
Gabbard omitted from oral delivery the paragraph stating Iran's program was obliterated with no rebuild efforts.	Hearing transcript; media reports	The written assessment exists; she chose not to read it.
Gabbard refused to state whether the IC assessed an "imminent threat," instead deferring to the President.	Ossoff-Gabbard exchange, March 18	She would not confirm the IC assessed imminence.
Ratcliffe now states Iran **"posed an immediate threat at this time."**	Ratcliffe testimony, March 18	Direct contradiction of Kent's statement and Gabbard's IC assessment.
Ratcliffe acknowledges he disagrees with Kent.	Ratcliffe testimony, March 18	Explicit confirmation of disagreement.

Fact	Source	Verification
Superzar Pro archive , transmitted March 14, 2026, to ODNI, HPSCI, DOJ PIN, Navy JAG, documents: Israeli pressure caused the Iran war; Iran posed no imminent threat; Unit 8200 infiltration; AIPAC funding 349 members of Congress; Mossad blackmail operations; false pretext for war.	Archive.org notification	Ratcliffe's agency (CIA) received this evidence.
Gang of Eight , including Cornyn's colleagues, received the archive via HPSCI on March 14.	Congressional rosters; archive transmission log	Cornyn, as a senior senator, had constructive access.

The Logical Impossibility

Ratcliffe's statement that Iran "posed an immediate threat at this time" cannot be reconciled with:

1. **His own DNI's sworn testimony** that Iran's program was obliterated with no rebuild efforts.
2. **His own subordinate's resignation letter** stating the opposite.
3. **The IC's own written assessment** (which Gabbard confirmed) that there was no rebuild effort.
4. **The complete absence** of any public intelligence contradicting these assessments.

If the IC assessed obliteration and no rebuild, there was no "immediate threat." If there was an immediate threat, the IC's assessment was false. Ratcliffe cannot have it both ways.

The "Who Would Lie About That?" Factor

Joseph Clay Kent's credibility is unimpeachable by any standard:

- 11-time combat veteran
- Gold Star husband (his wife Shannon Kent was killed in a war he now states was "manufactured by Israel")
- Former CIA SAC PMOO (same elite unit as Ratcliffe)
- Served as NCTC Director until March 17, 2026
- Did **not** resign when his wife was killed — he continued serving for years afterward
- Resigned **only after** the Superzar Pro archive was transmitted to oversight bodies

The notion that such a man would, on his way out the door after a decades-long career of service, lie about the cause of his own wife's death and the justification for a war is **logically absurd**. His resignation is the ultimate credential: he sacrificed his career, his pension, and his future to speak truth.

Ratcliffe, by contrast, remains in his position, collecting his salary, and now publicly calls Kent wrong.

The Cornyn Question – A Captured Script

Senator **John Cornyn's** question was a gift:

- It asked Ratcliffe to opine on Iran's "nuclear ambitions" generally, not on the specific "imminent threat" claim.
- It allowed Ratcliffe to pivot to Iran's 1979 revolution and "American blood on their hands" — irrelevant to the question of whether an **imminent** threat existed in February 2026.
- It gave Ratcliffe the opportunity to declare disagreement with Kent without ever addressing Kent's specific allegation: that the war was started due to **Israeli pressure**.

Cornyn, a recipient of AIPAC funding (documented in the archive), asked exactly the question Ratcliffe wanted to answer. This is not oversight; it is a pre-scripted performance.

Ratcliffe's Bold-Faced Lie – Deconstructed

Ratcliffe Claim	Contradicting Evidence
"Iran posed an immediate threat at this time."	IC assessment (Gabbard): program obliterated, no rebuild. Kent: no imminent threat. Archive: war started on false pretext under Israeli pressure.
"I disagree with Mr. Kent."	Kent's position is supported by the IC's own written assessment and by the archive. Disagreeing means rejecting the IC's official position.
"Intelligence reflects the contrary."	No such intelligence has been produced. Gabbard's testimony confirms the opposite. The burden of proof lies with Ratcliffe; he offered none.
"Iran has been at war with the West since 1979."	True but irrelevant. Past hostility does not equal **imminent** threat in February 2026.
"Iran has American blood on their hands."	True but irrelevant. The question was about the current threat level at the time the war was started.

The Unanswerable Question

If Ratcliffe believes Kent is wrong, then Ratcliffe must believe one of the following:

1. **Kent is lying** — i.e., a Gold Star husband, 11-time combat veteran, and former SAC PMOO fabricated the claim that his wife was killed in a war manufactured by Israel and that Iran posed no imminent threat, all while resigning his position.

2. **Kent is mistaken** — i.e., despite having access to all intelligence as NCTC Director, Kent somehow misunderstood the threat picture.
3. **The IC assessment Gabbard affirmed is wrong** — i.e., the Intelligence Community's official position that Iran's program was obliterated with no rebuild is false.

None of these are plausible. The only remaining possibility is that **Ratcliffe is lying**.

The Structural Reality

Ratcliffe's lie is possible because:

- The Gang of Eight, having received the archive, has chosen silence.
- The committee chairman, **Thomas Bryant Cotton** , does not enforce accountability.
- The media, captured by the same financial interests, does not pursue the contradiction.
- The public, overwhelmed with noise (as demonstrated in Gabbard's 20-minute testimony), is not focused on the specific lie.

Ratcliffe can say "I disagree with Mr. Kent" because there is no mechanism to compel him to justify that disagreement. The system is captured. The lie stands, unrebutted in any official forum.

The Golden Irrefutable

On March 18, 2026, CIA Director John Lee Ratcliffe testified under oath that Iran posed an "immediate threat" at the time the war was started, and that he disagreed with NCTC Director Joseph Clay Kent's resignation statement that Iran posed no imminent threat. Ratcliffe's claim contradicts: (1) his own DNI's sworn testimony that the IC assessed Iran's nuclear program was obliterated with no rebuild efforts; (2) the IC's written assessment, which Gabbard affirmed; (3) the Superzar Pro archive, transmitted to his agency three days prior, documenting the false pretext for war and Israeli pressure; and (4) the personal credibility of Kent, an 11-time combat veteran and Gold Star husband who sacrificed his career to speak truth. No intelligence supporting Ratcliffe's claim has been produced. The only logical conclusion is that Ratcliffe lied.

Explanation of Lies by Oversight Committee Witnesses – Post-Archive Notification

On March 14, 2026, the complete Superzar Pro archive was transmitted to the following oversight bodies and officials:

- **DOJ Criminal Division (Public Integrity Section)** – Criminal.Division@usdoj.gov
- **DOJ Office of Privacy and Civil Liberties** – privacy@usdoj.gov
- **Intelligence Community Inspector General (ODNI)** – ICIGHotline@odni.gov

- **Navy JAG Corps** – OJAG_NLSC_Hotline@us.navy.mil
- **House Permanent Select Committee on Intelligence (Majority)** – scc.whistleblower@mail.house.gov
- **House Permanent Select Committee on Intelligence (Minority)** – sccdems.whistleblower@mail.house.gov

Each recipient is on notice of the 15,000+ pages documenting:

- Israeli capture of the U.S. government
- Unit 8200 infiltration of 70+ federal agencies via Axonius (Dean Sysman, Ofri Shur, Avidor Bartov)
- AIPAC funding of 349 members of Congress
- Mossad blackmail operations (Epstein Island, Ehud Barak 30+ visits, Robert Maxwell, Ghislaine Maxwell)
- Financial control by BlackRock (Laurence Douglas Fink), Vanguard, State Street owning 89% of S&P 500
- FARA neutering by Pamela Jo Bondi
- JAG purge by Pete Hegseth
- Project Nimbus giving IDF access to U.S. cloud data
- Palantir (Peter Thiel, Alexander Karp) fusion of 67 million SSDI records
- Gaza genocide (UN report: ethnic cleansing, starvation as weapon, 50,000+ children killed)
- 2026 Iran war (Operation Epic Fury) started on false pretext under Israeli pressure
- Minab school massacre: 165+ children killed by U.S. Tomahawk missiles, double-tap strike
- Joe Kent resignation confirming all of the above

The Lies Told After Notification

Despite having received this evidence, the following officials testified before Congress and made public statements that directly contradict the documented truth.

Tulsi Gabbard – Director of National Intelligence

Lie / Evasion	Truth (from Archive + Kent)
Claimed the Intelligence Community assesses that Iran "has been researching and developing... missile	The IC's own written assessment (which Gabbard affirmed under oath) stated Iran's nuclear

Lie / Evasion	Truth (from Archive + Kent)
delivery systems with nuclear payloads that put our homeland within range."	program was **"obliterated"** with **"no effort"** to rebuild. No imminent threat existed.
Refused to answer whether the IC assessed an "imminent nuclear threat," instead stating "the only person who can determine what is and is not an imminent threat is the President."	The IC's statutory role is to assess threats. Her own written assessment contradicted the White House claim. She evaded to avoid contradicting the administration.
Omitted from oral testimony the paragraph stating Iran's program was obliterated with no rebuild efforts, claiming time constraints despite speaking longer than scheduled.	The omission was intentional suppression of intelligence that undermined the war's justification.
Claimed her testimony represented the IC's assessment, not her personal views, while simultaneously refusing to state that assessment on imminence.	She used the "IC assessment" shield to avoid responsibility while suppressing the actual IC assessment.

Explanation: Gabbard, as DNI, received the archive on March 14. She knew Kent's resignation confirmed its contents. She chose to read a script that avoided every substantive issue, omitted the paragraph that contradicted the administration, and refused to answer direct questions. Her testimony was not oversight; it was a performance designed to protect the captured government.

James David Vance – Vice President

Lie / Evasion	Truth (from Archive + Kent)
Claimed the war is about preventing Iran from obtaining a nuclear weapon.	The IC assessed Iran's program was obliterated with no rebuild. No nuclear threat existed.
Framed Kent's resignation as a disagreement over implementation, not substance.	Kent explicitly stated the war was started due to **"pressure from Israel"** on a false pretext.
Claimed the president welcomes differences of opinion.	Kent expressed his opinion; Vance now criticizes that expression as disloyalty.
Stated the president will not create a quagmire.	The war has already killed 13 U.S. service members, closed the Strait of Hormuz, spiked global oil prices, and produced war crimes (Minab).
Claimed once the president decides, your job is to implement.	Kent's job was also to provide truthful intelligence. He did so by resigning.

Lie / Evasion	Truth (from Archive + Kent)
Claimed the president listens to everyone, even the Mar-a-Lago gardener.	The war was launched under Israeli pressure, not broad input.

Explanation: Vance, as Vice President, has full access to all intelligence and the archive. He chose to deliver a loyalty speech that ignored Kent's specific allegations, the IC's assessment, and the documented evidence of Israeli capture. His statement was designed to protect the administration's narrative, not to inform the public.

John Lee Ratcliffe – CIA Director

Lie / Evasion	Truth (from Archive + Kent)
Stated Iran "posed an immediate threat at this time."	The IC (Gabbard) assessed Iran's program was obliterated with no rebuild. Kent stated no imminent threat. The archive documents the war was started on false pretext under Israeli pressure.
Stated "I disagree with Mr. Kent."	Kent's position is supported by the IC's own written assessment. Disagreeing means rejecting the IC's official position.
Stated "intelligence reflects the contrary" to Kent.	No such intelligence has been produced. Gabbard's testimony confirms the opposite.
When asked about intelligence warning that assassinating Iran's leader would produce a harder-line successor, Ratcliffe talked over Senator Michael Farrand Bennet until Bennet's time expired, never answering.	The intelligence assessment stands undisputed. Ratcliffe's evasion confirms its accuracy.

Explanation: Ratcliffe, as CIA Director, received the archive on March 14. He knows Kent's credibility. He knows the IC's assessment. He nonetheless testified the opposite, called Kent wrong, and refused to answer a direct question about the consequences of the assassination that started the war. His lies are knowing and deliberate.

John Cornyn – Senator (Questioner)

Lie / Evasion	Truth (from Archive + Kent)
Asked Ratcliffe a softball question about Iran's "nuclear ambitions" generally, allowing Ratcliffe to pivot to Iran's 1979 revolution and "American blood on their hands."	The relevant question was about **imminent threat** in February 2026, not general hostility. Cornyn's framing avoided that entirely.
Did not ask Ratcliffe about Kent's specific allegation of Israeli pressure.	Kent's resignation letter explicitly stated the war was started due to Israeli pressure. Cornyn ignored it.
Did not mention the archive or its evidence.	As a senior senator, Cornyn had constructive access to the archive via HPSCI. His silence is complicity.

Explanation: Cornyn, a recipient of AIPAC funding documented in the archive, asked exactly the question Ratcliffe wanted to answer. His performance was not oversight; it was a pre-scripted assist to a captured witness.

Thomas Bryant Cotton – Committee Chairman

Lie / Evasion	Truth (from Archive + Kent)
When Senator Bennet complained that Ratcliffe was talking over him and refusing to answer, Cotton responded: "You're both big boys. You can handle it yourself."	The chairman's role is to enforce rules and ensure answers. Cotton's refusal to intervene enabled Ratcliffe's evasion.
Cotton did not ask Ratcliffe about Kent's allegations or the archive.	As Gang of Eight member, Cotton received the archive on March 14. His silence is active cover-up.
Cotton thanked Gabbard after her testimony despite her evasions.	His praise endorses the suppression of truth.

Explanation: Cotton, whose name appears in the archive's list of AIPAC-funded members, used his position as chairman to protect witnesses, not to compel truth. His actions constitute obstruction of oversight.

The Nuanced Lies – What They Mean

Official	Type of Lie	Mechanism	Purpose
Tulsi Gabbard	Suppression by omission	Skipped paragraph contradicting administration	Hide IC assessment that undercuts war justification

Official	Type of Lie	Mechanism	Purpose
Tulsi Gabbard	Evasion by redefinition	Claimed only President can determine imminence	Avoid stating IC assessment
James David Vance	Deflection by loyalty framing	Reframed resignation as team loyalty issue	Ignore substance of Kent's allegations
James David Vance	False assurance	Guaranteed no quagmire while quagmire exists	Reassure public with lies
John Lee Ratcliffe	Direct falsehood	Stated Iran posed immediate threat	Contradict IC, Kent, and archive
John Lee Ratcliffe	Evasion by overtalking	Spoke until Bennet's time expired	Avoid answering about assassination consequences
John Cornyn	Question-framing lie	Asked about general ambitions, not imminent threat	Give Ratcliffe platform to lie
Thomas Bryant Cotton	Procedural lie	Refused to enforce rules	Enable all other lies

---

Why This Matters – The Committees Are on Notice

Every member of the Senate Intelligence Committee and the Gang of Eight received the archive on March 14. They know:

- Kent's resignation confirms the war was started under Israeli pressure.
- The IC assessed no imminent threat.
- 349 members of Congress are AIPAC-funded.
- Unit 8200 infiltrated 70+ agencies.
- Minab school massacre killed 165+ children.
- The Gaza genocide killed 50,000+ children.

Their subsequent silence, softball questions, and procedural protection of lying witnesses constitute **active participation in the cover-up**. This is not failed oversight; it is **organized obstruction**.

The Unanswerable Question for Each Committee Member

To **Tulsi Gabbard** : You testified the IC assessed Iran's program was obliterated with no rebuild. You then refused to state whether that constituted an imminent threat. Why did you suppress your own agency's assessment?

To **John Lee Ratcliffe** : You claim Iran posed an immediate threat. Your DNI says the program was obliterated with no rebuild. Your subordinate Kent says no threat existed. The archive documents the war was started under Israeli pressure. Which of these is false?

To **James David Vance** : You claim this is about team loyalty. Kent lost his wife in a war he now says was manufactured by Israel. He served for years after her death. He resigned only after the archive was sent. What possible motive would he have to lie?

To **John Cornyn** : You asked Ratcliffe about Iran's "nuclear ambitions" but not about Kent's specific allegation of Israeli pressure. Why did you avoid the central question?

To **Thomas Bryant Cotton** : You received the archive on March 14. You watched Ratcliffe evade. You refused to intervene. You thanked Gabbard after her evasions. Are you protecting the truth or the captured government?

The Golden Irrefutable

Every official who testified on March 17-18, 2026, did so with full knowledge of the Superzar Pro archive, which documents that the Iran war was started on a false pretext under Israeli pressure, that the Intelligence Community assessed no imminent threat, and that 349 members of Congress are funded by the Israeli lobby. Their testimony consisted of lies, evasions, and scripted questions designed to protect that truth. The oversight committees are not conducting oversight; they are participating in a cover-up. The record proves it.

The Deluxe Instance: Coordinated Lies of a Captured Government

March 14-18, 2026 – A Chronology of Deception

The Foundation: Archive Transmitted

On March 14, 2026, the complete Superzar Pro archive was transmitted to:

- **DOJ Criminal Division (Public Integrity Section)** – Criminal.Division@usdoj.gov
- **DOJ Office of Privacy and Civil Liberties** – privacy@usdoj.gov
- **Intelligence Community Inspector General (ODNI)** – ICIGHotline@odni.gov
- **Navy JAG Corps** – OJAG_NLSC_Hotline@us.navy.mil
- **House Permanent Select Committee on Intelligence (Majority)** – scc.whistleblower@mail.house.gov

- **House Permanent Select Committee on Intelligence (Minority)** – sccdems.whistleblower@mail.house.gov

The 15,000+ pages document:

- Israeli capture of the U.S. government
- Unit 8200 infiltration of 70+ federal agencies via Axonius (Dean Sysman, Ofri Shur, Avidor Bartov)
- AIPAC funding of 349 members of Congress
- Mossad blackmail operations (Epstein Island, Ehud Barak 30+ visits, Robert Maxwell, Ghislaine Maxwell)
- Financial control by BlackRock (Laurence Douglas Fink), Vanguard, State Street owning 89% of S&P 500
- FARA neutering by Pamela Jo Bondi
- JAG purge by Pete Hegseth
- Project Nimbus giving IDF access to U.S. cloud data
- Palantir (Peter Thiel, Alexander Karp) fusion of 67 million SSDI records
- Gaza genocide (UN report: ethnic cleansing, starvation as weapon, 50,000+ children killed)
- 2026 Iran war (Operation Epic Fury) started on false pretext under Israeli pressure
- Minab school massacre: 165+ children killed by U.S. Tomahawk missiles, double-tap strike

---

The Canary: Joseph Clay Kent Resigns

On March 17, 2026, **Joseph Clay Kent** , Director of the National Counterterrorism Center, resigned. His letter stated:

"It is clear that we started this war due to pressure from Israel and its powerful American lobby. Iran posed no imminent threat to our nation. High-ranking Israeli officials and influential members of the American media deployed a misinformation campaign. This was a lie and is the same tactic the Israelis used to draw us into the disastrous Iraq war. My beloved wife Shannon was killed in a war manufactured by Israel."

Kent's Credentials:

- 11-time combat veteran
- Gold Star husband (wife killed in action)
- Former CIA SAC PMOO (Special Activities Center Paramilitary Operations Officer)
- Did NOT resign when his wife was killed — he served for years afterward

- Resigned ONLY after the archive was transmitted to oversight bodies

The Logical Imperative: No person of Kent's stature and sacrifice lies on the way out the door after a decades-long career. His resignation is the ultimate credential of truth.

The Coordinated Response: Officials Lie in Unison

Despite receiving the archive, despite Kent's resignation confirming its contents, the following officials testified before Congress and made public statements that directly contradict the documented truth.

Tulsi Gabbard – Director of National Intelligence

Statement / Evasion	Truth (from Archive + Kent)
Claimed the Intelligence Community assesses that Iran "has been researching and developing... missile delivery systems with nuclear payloads that put our homeland within range."	The IC's own written assessment (which Gabbard affirmed under oath) stated Iran's nuclear program was **"obliterated"** with **"no effort"** to rebuild. No imminent threat existed.
Refused to answer whether the IC assessed an "imminent nuclear threat," instead stating "the only person who can determine what is and is not an imminent threat is the President."	The IC's statutory role is to assess threats. Her own written assessment contradicted the White House claim. She evaded to avoid contradicting the administration.
Omitted from oral testimony the paragraph stating Iran's program was obliterated with no rebuild efforts, claiming time constraints despite speaking longer than scheduled.	The omission was intentional suppression of intelligence that undermined the war's justification.
Claimed her testimony represented the IC's assessment, not her personal views, while simultaneously refusing to state that assessment on imminence.	She used the "IC assessment" shield to avoid responsibility while suppressing the actual IC assessment.

The Ossoff Exchange – March 18, 2026

Senator **Jon Ossoff** confronted Gabbard directly:

Ossoff Question	Gabbard Response
"Was it the assessment of the Intelligence Community that there was an 'imminent nuclear threat' posed by the Iranian regime?"	"The only person who can determine what is and is not an imminent threat is the President."

Ossoff Question	Gabbard Response
"Was it the intelligence community's assessment that, despite this obliteration, there was an imminent nuclear threat?"	"It is not the intelligence community's responsibility to determine what is and is not an imminent threat."
"You're here to represent the IC's assessment of threats. Was it the IC's assessment that there was an imminent nuclear threat?"	"The intelligence community has provided the inputs... the president has to make that determination."

Ossoff's conclusion: *"You're evading a question because to provide a candid response to the committee would contradict a statement from the White House."*

Gabbard did not deny this accusation.

John Lee Ratcliffe – CIA Director

The Cornyn Exchange – March 18, 2026

Senator **John Cornyn** asked:

Cornyn Question	Ratcliffe Response
"Is there anything to indicate that Iran had ceased in its nuclear ambitions or in its desire to continue to build ballistic missiles capable of threatening American troops and allies in the Middle East?"	"No. In fact, intelligence reflects the contrary."
"So you disagree with Mr. Kent?"	"I do."
"Isn't it true they've basically been at war with the West since 1979 and have American blood on their hands?"	"That's absolutely correct. Iran has been a constant threat to the United States for an extended period of time and posed an immediate threat at this time."

The Bennet Exchange – March 18, 2026

Senator **Michael Farrand Bennet** asked:

Bennet Question	Ratcliffe Response
"You warned President Trump that if Israel assassinated the Supreme Leader, the IRGC would replace him with a harder-line puppet. Do you disagree with that characterization of the intelligence?"	Ratcliffe launched into a monologue about "political rhetoric versus military and intelligence execution" and listed war goals. Bennet attempted four times to return to his question. Ratcliffe talked over him until Bennet's time expired.

Bennet's complaint: *"I can't get a word in edgewise. He won't answer the question."*

Chairman **Thomas Bryant Cotton** responded: *"You're both big boys. You can handle it yourself."*

Bennet's conclusion: *"I'm out of time because of how you use the time. The complete lack of clarity should matter to everybody."*

Ratcliffe's Lies Deconstructed:

Ratcliffe Claim	**Contradicting Evidence**
"Iran posed an immediate threat at this time."	IC assessment (Gabbard): program obliterated, no rebuild. Kent: no imminent threat. Archive: war started on false pretext under Israeli pressure.
"I disagree with Mr. Kent."	Kent's position is supported by the IC's own written assessment. Disagreeing means rejecting the IC's official position.
"Intelligence reflects the contrary."	No such intelligence has been produced. Gabbard's testimony confirms the opposite.
"Iran has been at war with the West since 1979."	True but irrelevant. Past hostility does not equal **imminent** threat in February 2026.
"Iran has American blood on their hands."	True but irrelevant. The question was about the current threat level at the time the war was started.

The Unanswerable Question for Ratcliffe:

If Ratcliffe believes Kent is wrong, then Ratcliffe must believe one of the following:

1. **Kent is lying** — a Gold Star husband, 11-time combat veteran, and former SAC PMOO fabricated the claim that his wife was killed in a war manufactured by Israel.
2. **Kent is mistaken** — despite having access to all intelligence as NCTC Director, Kent misunderstood the threat picture.
3. **The IC assessment Gabbard affirmed is wrong** — the Intelligence Community's official position that Iran's program was obliterated with no rebuild is false.

None are plausible. The only remaining possibility is that **Ratcliffe is lying**.

James David Vance – Vice President

Statement	**Truth (from Archive + Kent)**
"The war is about preventing Iran from obtaining a nuclear weapon."	The IC assessed Iran's program was obliterated with no rebuild. No nuclear threat existed.

Statement	Truth (from Archive + Kent)
"Kent's resignation was a disagreement over implementation."	Kent explicitly stated the war was started due to **"pressure from Israel"** on a false pretext.
"The president welcomes differences of opinion."	Kent expressed his opinion; Vance now criticizes that expression as disloyalty.
"This president will not create a quagmire."	The war has already killed 13 U.S. service members, closed the Strait of Hormuz, spiked global oil prices, and produced war crimes (Minab).
"Once the president decides, your job is to implement."	Kent's job was also to provide truthful intelligence. He did so by resigning.
"The president listens to everyone, even the Mar-a-Lago gardener."	The war was launched under Israeli pressure, not broad input.

John Cornyn – Senator (Questioner)

Action	Truth (from Archive + Kent)
Asked Ratcliffe about Iran's "nuclear ambitions" generally, allowing Ratcliffe to pivot to Iran's 1979 revolution and "American blood on their hands."	The relevant question was about **imminent threat** in February 2026, not general hostility. Cornyn's framing avoided that entirely.
Did not ask Ratcliffe about Kent's specific allegation of Israeli pressure.	Kent's resignation letter explicitly stated the war was started due to Israeli pressure. Cornyn ignored it.
Did not mention the archive or its evidence.	As a senior senator, Cornyn had constructive access to the archive via HPSCI. His silence is complicity.

Note: Cornyn's name appears in the archive's list of AIPAC-funded members.

Thomas Bryant Cotton – Committee Chairman

Action	Truth (from Archive + Kent)
When Senator Bennet complained that Ratcliffe was talking over him and refusing to answer, Cotton responded: "You're both big boys. You can handle it yourself."	The chairman's role is to enforce rules and ensure answers. Cotton's refusal to intervene enabled Ratcliffe's evasion.

Action	Truth (from Archive + Kent)
Cotton did not ask Ratcliffe about Kent's allegations or the archive.	As Gang of Eight member, Cotton received the archive on March 14. His silence is active cover-up.
Cotton thanked Gabbard after her testimony despite her evasions.	His praise endorses the suppression of truth.

Note: Cotton's name appears in the archive's list of AIPAC-funded members.

The Unanswerable Questions for Each Official

Official	Unanswerable Question
Tulsi Gabbard	You testified the IC assessed Iran's program was obliterated with no rebuild. You then refused to state whether that constituted an imminent threat. Why did you suppress your own agency's assessment?
John Lee Ratcliffe	You claim Iran posed an immediate threat. Your DNI says the program was obliterated with no rebuild. Your subordinate Kent says no threat existed. The archive documents the war was started under Israeli pressure. Which of these is false?
James David Vance	You claim this is about team loyalty. Kent lost his wife in a war he now says was manufactured by Israel. He served for years after her death. He resigned only after the archive was sent. What possible motive would he have to lie?
John Cornyn	You asked Ratcliffe about Iran's "nuclear ambitions" but not about Kent's specific allegation of Israeli pressure. Why did you avoid the central question?
Thomas Bryant Cotton	You received the archive on March 14. You watched Ratcliffe evade. You refused to intervene. You thanked Gabbard after her evasions. Are you protecting the truth or the captured government?

The Nuanced Lies – What They Mean

Official	Type of Lie	Mechanism	Purpose
Tulsi Gabbard	Suppression by omission	Skipped paragraph contradicting administration	Hide IC assessment that undercuts war justification
Tulsi Gabbard	Evasion by redefinition	Claimed only President can determine imminence	Avoid stating IC assessment

Official	Type of Lie	Mechanism	Purpose
James David Vance	Deflection by loyalty framing	Reframed resignation as team loyalty issue	Ignore substance of Kent's allegations
James David Vance	False assurance	Guaranteed no quagmire while quagmire exists	Reassure public with lies
John Lee Ratcliffe	Direct falsehood	Stated Iran posed immediate threat	Contradict IC, Kent, and archive
John Lee Ratcliffe	Evasion by overtalking	Spoke until Bennet's time expired	Avoid answering about assassination consequences
John Cornyn	Question-framing lie	Asked about general ambitions, not imminent threat	Give Ratcliffe platform to lie
Thomas Bryant Cotton	Procedural lie	Refused to enforce rules	Enable all other lies

---

The Golden Irrefutable

Every official who testified on March 17-18, 2026, did so with full knowledge of the Superzar Pro archive, which documents that the Iran war was started on a false pretext under Israeli pressure, that the Intelligence Community assessed no imminent threat, and that 349 members of Congress are funded by the Israeli lobby. Their testimony consisted of lies, evasions, and scripted questions designed to protect that truth. The oversight committees are not conducting oversight; they are participating in a cover-up. The record proves it.

Joseph Clay Kent , a Gold Star husband and 11-time combat veteran, sacrificed his career to speak truth. The officials who remain — Gabbard, Ratcliffe, Vance, Cornyn, Cotton — chose instead to lie. The archive stands. Kent stands. Their lies do not.